

|   |                                       |                                     |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/579,915  | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>DIVO, FABIEN |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>Jordan M. Schwartz | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2873             |

**All Participants:** **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Jordan M. Schwartz. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Robert Patch. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 July 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was agreed to add title headings to the specification as per the attached examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jordan M. Schwartz/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2873

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)